

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,395	09/16/2004	A. John Speranza	03-023	5394
31661 PROTON ENEI	7590 01/17/2007 RGY SYSTEM	EXAMINER		
10 TECHNOLO	GY DRIVE	RAMILLANO, LORE J		
WALLINGFORD, CT 06492			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		1	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
			10/711,395		SPERANZA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit		
			Lore Ramilla		1743		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	ars on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER IN THE MINISTRANCE IN THE MINISTRA	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136( nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS  (a). In no event,  I apply and will example applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N.  nety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 25 Oct	tober 2006.				
,	) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,19-27 and 33-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>10-18 and 28-32</u> is/are reje	cted.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election req	uirement.	•.		
Applicati	on Papers		•				
-	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer					
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 Septembe</u>			ented or b) Cobiec	ted to by the Examiner		
ובשונטו	Applicant may not request that any object						
			•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
, —		by the Exa					
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				4.0		
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	oriority unde	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (t).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:							
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/06</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Election/Restrictions**

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 10-18 and 28-32 in the reply filed on 10/25/06 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 19-27, and 33-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/25/06.

### **Response to Amendment**

3. The rejections over the prior art are withdrawn. New rejections follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1743

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 10-18 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brosnihan et al. ("Brosnihan," US 2003/0090164) in view of Ovshinsky et al. ("Ovshinsky," US 6305442) and Werth (US 5510201).

Brosnihan disclose a system comprising an electrical generator (70); a valve (i.e. solenoid valve), which may be operated to release hydrogen cooling gas from the electrical generator in response to a signal from the purity monitor [0024]); a purity monitor (hydrogen gas purity monitoring module, 20, Figs. 2 and 3); a pressure monitor [0027]-[0028]; a hydrogen purifier (hydrogen gas purity monitoring module monitors hydrogen cooling gas to optimize purity levels, [0020]-[0021]); and a signal is provided by the purity monitor when the purity of the hydrogen gas is lowered [0020]-[0021].

Brosnihan does not specifically disclose having a hydrogen generator, which is an electrochemical generator having at least one polymer electrode membrane or producing hydrogen gas by reformation of natural gas.

Art Unit: 1743

In Fig. 3, Ovshinsky discloses a system comprising a hydrogen generator (32), which includes an electrochemical generator having at least one polymer electrode membrane (i.e. column 8, line 66 to column 9, line 21) or a generator that produces hydrogen gas by reformation of natural gas (column 8, lines 45-46); an electrical generator (31) coupled to the hydrogen generator; and a hydrogen purifier (33) coupled to the generator.

Brosnihan and Ovshinsky are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, systems involving hydrogen-related generators. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brosnihan by including the hydrogen generator of Ovshinsky because it would be desirable to have a complete infrastructure system that generates power, generates hydrogen, and purifies hydrogen since such system would be highly energy efficient since reusable energy is possible under this system. (i.e. column 5, lines 6-25).

Furthermore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brosnihan by producing hydrogen via reformation of natural gas or by using a polymer electrode membrane because using reformed natural gas for the regeneration process is more cost-efficient compared to other alternative derivatives (column 1, lines 20-34, Werth) and using a polymer electrode membrane is particularly suitable for the regeneration process (column 12, lines 7-15, Werth).

#### **Response to Arguments**

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-18 and 28-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lore Ramillano whose telephone number is (571) 272-7420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lore Ramillano Examiner Art Unit 1743

1/5/07

Aill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700